### **Public Document Pack**

# Overview and Scrutiny

Committee

Wednesday, 14th July, 2010 2010 7.00 pm

Committee Room Two Town Hall Redditch



### **Access to Information - Your Rights**

The Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 widened the rights of press and public to attend Local Authority meetings and to see certain documents. Recently the Freedom of Information Act 2000, has further broadened these rights, and limited exemptions under the 1985 Act.

Your main rights are set out below:-

- Automatic right to attend all Council and Committee meetings unless the business would disclose confidential or "exempt" information.
- Automatic right to inspect agenda and public reports at least five days before the date of the meeting.
- Automatic right to inspect minutes of the Council and its Committees (or summaries of business

- undertaken in private) for up to six years following a meeting.
- Automatic right to inspect lists of background papers used in the preparation of public reports.
- Access, upon request, to the background papers on which reports are based for a period of up to four years from the date of the meeting.
- Access to a public register stating the names and addresses and electoral areas of all Councillors with details of the membership of all Committees etc.
- A reasonable number of copies of agenda and reports relating to items to be considered in public must be made available to the public attending meetings of the Council and its Committees etc.

- Access to a list specifying those powers which the Council has delegated to its Officers indicating also the titles of the Officers concerned.
- Access to a summary of the rights of the public to attend meetings of the Council and its Committees etc. and to inspect and copy documents.
- In addition, the public now has a right to be present when the Council determines "Key Decisions" unless the business would disclose confidential or "exempt" information.
- Unless otherwise stated, all items of business before the <u>Executive Committee</u> are Key Decisions.
- (Copies of Agenda Lists are published in advance of the meetings on the Council's Website:

www.redditchbc.gov.uk

If you have any queries on this Agenda or any of the decisions taken or wish to exercise any of the above rights of access to information, please contact

Jess Bayley

Overview and Scrutiny Support Officer

Town Hall, Walter Stranz Square, Redditch, B98 8AH Tel: 01527 64252 (Ext. 3268) Fax: (01527) 65216 e.mail: jess.bayley@redditchbc.gov.uk

Minicom: 595528

# Welcome to today's meeting. Guidance for the Public

### Agenda Papers

The **Agenda List** at the front of the Agenda summarises the issues to be discussed and is followed by the Officers' full supporting **Reports**.

### Chair

The Chair is responsible for the proper conduct of the meeting. Generally to one side of the Chair is the Committee Support Officer who gives advice on the proper conduct of the meeting and ensures that the debate and the decisions are properly recorded. On the Chair's other side are the relevant Council Officers. The Councillors ("Members") of the Committee occupy the remaining seats around the table.

### **Running Order**

Items will normally be taken in the order printed but, in particular circumstances, the Chair may agree to vary the order.

**Refreshments**: tea, coffee and water are normally available at meetings - please serve yourself.

### **Decisions**

Decisions at the meeting will be taken by the **Councillors** who are the democratically elected representatives. They are advised by **Officers** who are paid professionals and do not have a vote

#### Members of the Public

Members of the public may, by prior arrangement, speak at meetings of the Council or its Committees. Specific procedures exist for Appeals Hearings or for meetings involving Licence or Planning Applications. For further information on this point, please speak to the Committee Support Officer.

### Special Arrangements

If you have any particular needs, please contact the Committee Support Officer.

Infra-red devices for the hearing impaired are available on request at the meeting. Other facilities may require prior arrangement.

### Further Information

If you require any further information, please contact the Committee Support Officer (see foot of page opposite).

### Fire/ Emergency instructions

If the alarm is sounded, please leave the building by the nearest available exit – these are clearly indicated within all the Committee Rooms.

If you discover a fire, inform a member of staff or operate the nearest alarm call point (wall mounted red rectangular box). In the event of the fire alarm sounding, leave the building immediately following the fire exit signs. Officers have been appointed with responsibility to ensure that all visitors are escorted from the building.

Do Not stop to collect personal belongings.

Do Not use lifts.

Do Not re-enter the building until told to do so.

The emergency
Assembly Area is on
Walter Stranz Square.

### Declaration of Interests: Guidance for Councillors

### DO I HAVE A "PERSONAL INTEREST" ?

 Where the item relates or is likely to affect your registered interests (what you have declared on the formal Register of Interests)

### OR

 Where a decision in relation to the item might reasonably be regarded as affecting your own well-being or financial position, or that of your family, or your close associates more than most other people affected by the issue,

you have a personal interest.

### WHAT MUST I DO? Declare the existence, and nature, of your interest and stay

- The declaration must relate to specific business being decided a general scattergun approach is not needed
- Exception where interest arises only because of your membership of another public body, there is no need to declare unless you speak on the matter.
- You can vote on the matter.

### IS IT A "PREJUDICIAL INTEREST"?

In general only if:-

- It is a personal interest and
- The item affects your financial position (or conveys other benefits), or the position of your family, close associates or bodies through which you have a registered interest (or relates to the exercise of regulatory functions in relation to these groups)

### <u>and</u>

• A member of public, with knowledge of the relevant facts, would reasonably believe the interest was likely to **prejudice** your judgement of the public interest.

### WHAT MUST I DO? Declare and Withdraw

BUT you may make representations to the meeting before withdrawing, **if** the public have similar rights (such as the right to speak at Planning Committee).





Wednesday, 14th July, 2010 7.00 pm Committee Room 2 Town Hall

### Committee

### Membership:

Cllrs: Diane Thomas

(Chair) Anita Clayton (Vice-Chair)

Kath Banks Bill Hartnett Robin King William Norton Brenda Quinney Mark Shurmer Graham Vickery

1. Apologies and named substitutes

To receive apologies for absence and details of any Councillor (or co-optee substitute) nominated to attend this meeting in place of a member of this Committee.

2. Declarations of interest and of Party Whip

To invite Councillors to declare any interest they may have in items on the Agenda and any Party Whip.

3. Minutes

(Pages 1 - 16)

C Felton, Head of Legal, Equalities and Democratic Services To confirm the minutes of the most recent meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee as a correct record.

(Minutes attached)

(No Specific Ward Relevance)

4. Actions List

(Pages 17 - 20)

C Felton, Head of Legal, Equalities and Democratic Services To note the contents of the Overview and Scrutiny Actions List.

(Report attached)

(No Specific Ward Relevance)

5. Pre-Scrutiny

To consider whether any items on the Forward Plan require pre-scrutiny.

(No separate report).

(No Specific Ward Relevance)

### **Overview and Scrutiny**Committee

6.	Task & Finish Reviews - Draft Scoping Documents	To consider any scoping documents provided for possible Overview and Scrutiny review.		
		(No reports attached)		
		(No Specific Ward Relevance)		
7.	Task and Finish Groups - Progress Reports	To consider progress to date on the current reviews against the terms set by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.		
		The current reviews in progress are:		
		<ol> <li>Local Strategic Partnership – Chair, Councillor W Norton; and</li> </ol>		
		Joint Worcestershire Hub – Redditch representative, Councillor G Hopkins.		
		(Oral reports)		
		All Wards		
8.	REDI Centre - Update	To consider a report on the subject of the REDI Centre.		
	report	(Presentation to follow).		
	J Godwin, Head of Leisure and Cultural Services	(No Specific Ward Relevance)		
9.	Worcestershire enhanced Two Tier (WETT) Regulatory Service	To consider responses to questions proposed by members on the subject of the Worcestershire Enhanced Two Tier (WETT) Regulatory Service.		
	(Pages 21 - 22)	(Questions attached oral report to follow).		
	C Felton, Head of Legal, Equalities and Democratic Services	(No Specific Ward Relevance)		
10.	Feedback from the CfPS Good Scrutiny	To consider feedback from the Chair of the Committee regarding the CfPS Good Scrutiny conference.		
	Conference (Oral report).			
		(No Specific Ward Relevance)		

Committee

Wednesday, 14th July, 2010

11. Referrals	To consider any referrals to the Overview & Scrutiny Committee direct, or arising from:  The Executive Committee or full Council Other sources.
	(No separate report).
	(No Specific Ward Relevance)
<b>12.</b> Work Programm (Pages 23 - 28)	potential items for addition to the list arising from:
C Felton, Head of Equalities and De Services	
	(No Specific Ward Relevance)
13. Exclusion of the and Public	Should it be necessary, in the opinion of the Borough Director, during the course of the meeting to consider excluding the public from the meeting on the grounds that exempt information is likely to be divulged, it may be necessary to move the following resolution:
	"That, under S.100 (A) (4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following matter(s) on the grounds that it/they involve(s) the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in the relevant paragraphs (to be specified) of Part 1 of Schedule 12 (A) of the said Act".
	(No Specific Ward Relevance)



Committee

23rd June 2010

### **MINUTES**

#### Present:

Councillor Diane Thomas (Chair), and Councillors Robin King, Wanda King, William Norton, Brenda Quinney, Mark Shurmer and Graham Vickery

#### **Also Present:**

Councillors Andrew Brazier, Adam Griffin and Gay Hopkins.

#### Officers:

H Bennett, A Heighway, L Jones, T Kristunas and S Skinner

#### **Committee Services Officer:**

J Bayley and J Smyth.

### 13. APOLOGIES AND NAMED SUBSTITUTES

Apologies for absence were received on behalf of Councillors Banks, A Clayton and Hartnett.

An apology was also received on behalf of Councillor Braley (Portfolio Holder for Corporate Management).

### 14. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST AND OF PARTY WHIP

There were neither declarations of interest nor of Party Whip.

### 15. MINUTES

#### **RESOLVED that**

the minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 28th April 2010 be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

Chair	

Committee 23rd June 2010

#### 16. ACTIONS LIST

The Committee considered the latest version of the Actions List and specific mention was made on the following matters:

### a) <u>Action 2: Convergence between Council and Registered</u> <u>Social Landlords (RSL) Rents</u>

Further to a request at the previous meeting, Members were provided with a written detailed breakdown of the methodology and Government formula for calculating rents. The national average formula rent for 2010/11, deemed to be the target for convergence, was £66.04, however the actual average rent for Redditch Borough Council was £63.38.

Officers clarified a number of points raised, in relation to the difference between the national average figure and that for Redditch Borough Council and explained that, as well as applying the Government formula rent, other factors were taken into account in respect of capital values of individual properties, which varied across the country, numbers of bedrooms and average earnings. Members were advised that, whilst authorities aimed for the "target" formula rent, the average was generally either lower or higher dependent on local factors. Officers further advised that the gap between the Government formula rent and actual average rents was much wider than Redditch's in some areas.

Officers advised that local authorities had very little input into the process other than to undertake the calculations required based on the Government formula provided.

### b) Action 6: Letter to former Councillor Phil Mould

Copies of a letter from the Chair on behalf of the Committee, sent to former Councillor Phil Mould, previous Chair of the Committee, were circulated for Members information.

### c) <u>Action 8: Garden Waste Collection – Pre-scrutiny</u>

Members were advised that the Garden Waste Collection report, scheduled on the Forward Plan for consideration at the 29th September Executive Committee, had been included on the Committee's Work Programme for prescrutiny at its meeting on 15th September 2010.

Committee 23rd June 2010

#### **RESOLVED that**

the reports be noted.

### 17. CALL-IN AND PRE-SCRUTINY

It was noted that there had been no specific call-ins relating to the Decision Notice of the Executive Committee meeting held on 16th June 2010.

In respect of pre-scrutiny requests, the Committee discussed various items scheduled on the Forward Plan for consideration by the Executive Committee. Members agreed that several of the items were suitable for pre-scrutiny, namely:

- a) REDI Centre Options Update report (28th July 2010 Executive)
- b) Older Persons Housing and Support Strategy (28th July 2010 Executive);
- c) Children and Young Peoples Plan (12th January 2011 Executive); and
- d) Improvement Plan 2010/11 (28th July 2010 Executive Members noted that this item might be removed from the Forward Plan);

It was noted that the Joint Worcestershire Scrutiny into Flood Task and Finish Group's recommendations had still to be considered by the Executive Committee, although no specific date for it to be considered had been scheduled on the Forward Plan. Officers were requested to advise Committee Services on the proposed date for the Executive to consider the matter as soon as possible for scheduling on the Forward Plan.

Reference was made to an item on the Decision Notice from the 25th May 2010 Executive Committee meeting relating to the Supporting People Strategy. Officers advised that, whilst the matter could no longer be called in, and the Executive Committee's recommendation to adopt the strategy was due to be considered at full Council on the 28th June (where Members would have an opportunity comment if they wished), it could still be subject to further scrutiny. Particular concerns were expressed about the style of writing and language used which, it was suggested,

Committee 23rd June 2010

rendered the report incomprehensible, unclear and not suitable for a public audience.

Members were informed that the report had, in fact, been produced by the County Council for circulation to District Councils. Officers were asked to report the Committee's concerns regarding the strategy to the Worcestershire Supporting Peoples' Group and provide a further presentation on the subject of the strategy for the Committee's consideration in due course.

#### **RESOLVED that**

- 1) the REDI Centre options report be provided for prescrutiny by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee prior to the Executive Committee considering the item;
- 2) the Older Persons Housing and Support Strategy report be provided for pre-scrutiny by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee prior to the Executive Committee considering the item;
- 3) the Children and Young Peoples Plan be provided for pre-scrutiny by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee prior to the Executive Committee considering the item;
- 4) subject to the item remaining on the Forward Plan, the Improvement Plan 2010/11 be provided for pre-scrutiny by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee prior to consideration by the Executive Committee;
- 5) a further presentation on the subject of the Supporting People Strategy be provided for the Committee's consideration in due course; and
- 6) in respect of the Joint Worcestershire Scrutiny in to Flooding Task and Finish Group recommendations, currently listed on the Forward Plan with no scheduled date, appropriate Officers be requested to provide Committee Services with a date when the item was to be considered by the Executive Committee, as soon as possible.

#### 18. TASK & FINISH REVIEWS - DRAFT SCOPING DOCUMENTS

There were no draft scoping documents for the Committee to review.

Committee 23rd June 2010

Members reiterated their previous concerns on the continuing lack of Officer support for the work the Committee wished to undertake on both existing and future Task and Finish reviews. It was reported that the Head of Legal, Equalities and Democratic Services would be applying herself to resolving the issue as a matter of urgency.

#### 19. TASK AND FINISH GROUPS - PROGRESS REPORTS

The Committee received oral reports in relation to current reviews, namely:

### a) <u>Local Strategic Partnership</u>

It was reported that eight further recommendations had been drafted at the most recent meeting of the Task and Finish Group. An additional witness interview was due to take place in June. The review was still considered to be on course for completion ahead of schedule and it was likely that the Group's final report would be presented in July / August 2010.

### b) Worcestershire Hub Review

The Chair thanked Councillor Hopkins for attending the meeting on behalf of the Committee. It was acknowledged that she had only recently taken on the role of the Council's co-opted Member on the Group and that she was not, therefore, fully conversant with the work of the review to date.

Councillor Hopkins reported that she had attended the most recent meeting of the Task and Finish Group and referred Members to her notes attached to the Agenda. She provided the following answers to the questions on the subject of the Worcestershire Hub service and Task and Finish review that had been proposed by members:

1) What stage has the Joint Worcestershire Hub Scrutiny
Task Group reached in the review of the
Worcestershire Hub Service?

Councillor Hopkins advised that, from what she had understood from the meeting, the review of the Hub was well past the half-way stage.

Committee 23rd June 2010

2) What actions are likely to be suggested to improve the delivery of the service?

Councillor Hopkins reported that a number of actions were already being implemented; specifically for Redditch, a similar change to that already made by Bromsgrove who have provided one telephone number for their Revenues and Benefits service which has, it would seem, helped to reduce the number of enquiries to their back offices. It was anticipated that a similar set up in Redditch would have a similar impact on reducing calls through the Hub.

Redditch had introduced the option for its Switchboard to offer callers the opportunity to key in Office extension numbers (if known) which provided automatic transfers of calls and speeded up the process for passing on calls.

3) During the course of the Neighbourhood Groups
Review in Redditch we consulted with residents who
frequently complained about the Worcestershire Hub
at Neighbourhood Group meetings. Has any attempt
been made during the review to consult with residents
about the service?

It was reported that a number of consultation processes were undertaken, namely:

- i) Customer Questionnaire January / February
- ii) Worcester Viewpoint in May a general newsletter but included an article on the Hub for feedback
- iii) Your Views Count an online area on the Hub website which provided a questionnaire for users to complete and submit.
- 4) What measures are being taken to improve the Worcestershire Hub telephone service?

Councillor Hopkins advised that she had no further information on other measures to be taken at this time. It was reported that the Group's Chair had suggested that perceptions had indicated that the service had much improved. This view was not shared by the Committee and Members highlighted

Committee 23rd June 2010

several of their own experiences when dealing with enquires through the Hub, namely:

- i) A Member reported that during an enquiry through the Hub until they mentioned they were a Borough Councillor; they had been treated in an unsatisfactory manner.
- ii) A Member recently ordered a new wheelie bin and after several calls, which lasted between ten and fifteen minutes each, they ended up with five wheelie bins.
- iii) A Member attempted to report a problem with a pavement to the Highways Unit. This had not resulted in any action and they had eventually been advised to contact a County Councillor to resolve the issue.
- iv) A Member reported that, in his experience, using the Hub to access services was very frustrating because you could not approach individual services to discuss issues.

It was questioned what value was added to the delivery of services if people were prevented from having direct contact with relevant services. Officers reported that the ultimate vision for the Hub had been that a customer could contact any Hub in the County to resolve an issue regardless of where they lived in the County or who the responsible authority was. Due to technical difficulties, however, this ideal of service delivery still remained to be achieved.

Councillor Hopkins was asked to report the concerns and experiences highlighted by Members to the Joint Scrutiny Review Group for further consideration. It was noted that Councillor Hopkins would provide written updates for the Committee after every Review Group meeting.

In the context of external appointments, it was highlighted that, as Councillors, Members were appointed to a number of outside bodies, such as the Worcestershire Hub Board. However, they were not aware of providing updates on the work of these outside bodies for other Members' consideration. It was reported that feedback on outside Body appointments was supposed to be directed through the Executive Committee, although this rarely happened.

Committee 23rd June 2010

#### **RESOLVED that**

- 1) the updates be noted;
- 2) Councillor Hopkins be asked to report the Committee's concerns and comments on the Worcestershire Hub service back to the Joint Scrutiny Review Group for consideration; and
- 3) Officers be requested to review the arrangements currently in place for delivering reports on the subject of Members' work on outside bodies.

### 20. BUS PASS SCHEME: COUNTY PROVISION - UPDATE

The Committee received an update report on progress to date in relation to the Bus Pass Scheme for the County.

Officers reported on a number of specific areas, in particular in relation to:

### a) Age of eligibility for concessionary bus travel

Members noted that the age of eligibility for concessionary bus travel had been altered in line with changes made to the State Pension Scheme from April 2010. The changes, which would only impact on those due to turn 60 on or after 6th April 2010, had led to a lot of confusion for residents as there had been very little publicity nationally with the added issue that there would be a phased approach to issuing passes. Eligible residents would not necessarily receive their bus passes immediately upon application.

### b) Concessionary fares funding

The 2010/11 grant for Redditch had remained unchanged at £239,400. Members were reminded, however, that from 2011 the County Council would be the designated Travel Concession Authority for the County's six Districts and all funding will be directed to them.

Members were advised that the County Council had been instructed to have a main-stream, uniform approach to the scheme. Dependant on what scheme they decide to adopt, District Councils might ultimately have to fund any enhanced concessionary schemes they wished to have

Committee 23rd June 2010

over and above the County Council's standard scheme. Officers reported that JMP Consulting would be providing estimates on the overall cost of pre-9.30am travel concession by the end of June. Members requested that a copy of the information be circulated to them for information. It was noted that two Districts had already removed this enhanced concession.

### c) <u>Branding</u>

In response to a Member's query on why the Concessionary Passes could not display both County and Redditch Councils Logos on the front of cards, Officers advised that current legislation only allowed for the Travel Concession Authority's logo (the County Council's in this instance) to be displayed.

Members expressed their concerns that Redditch would have no real input into the decisions on the scheme and how it operated. They were particular concerned that the pre-9.30am travel scheme might be lost.

It was noted that, ultimately the responsibility for the Concessionary Fares Scheme and the decision as to whether to adopt the National scheme or to allow enhancements such as pre-9.30am travel would rest with the County Council. It was suggested and agreed that the relevant Worcestershire County Council Portfolio Holder and appropriate County Council Officers with responsibility for concessionary fares should be invited to attend the next meeting of the Committee to discuss the matter in more detail.

#### **RESOLVED that**

- 1) the Officers' report and oral update be noted;
- 2) Officers to circulate a copy of the information due to be provided by JMP Consulting on the costs associated with providing the pre-9.30am travel concession for members' consideration; and
- 3) the relevant Worcestershire County Council Portfolio Holder and Responsible Officer(s) be invited to attend the 14th July 2010 meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee, to discuss the matter in more detail.

Committee 23rd June 2010

#### 21. CHARGING POLICY - MONITORING REPORT

The Committee received an oral report in relation to monitoring of the Council's Charging Policy on the Council's process for setting fees and charges.

Officers provided background information on the Charging Policy that had been approved by Council in accordance with the recommendations made by the Fees and Charges Task and Finish Group in 2008. It was clarified that the purpose of bringing the matter to Committee was for Members to monitor the impact of the Charging Policy on the Council's fees and charges setting process, its weaknesses and positive outcomes.

Members were informed that, there had been some significant changes to services since 2008, particularly in the Leisure Services. However, it was difficult to determine to what extent this had been influenced by the Charging Policy as the introduction of the charging policy had coincided with the economic downturn which was also likely to have impacted on the use of many services. Officers, though, believed that the spirit of the policy was being followed leading to improvements across various services, including Planning, Leisure and Garden Waste collection.

Members noted the information provided by Officers but considered that a comprehensive written report was required in order for them to exercise a proper monitoring role.

#### **RESOLVED that**

Officers provide the Committee with a detailed written report on the impact of the Council's Charging Policy on the fees and charges setting process as soon as possible.

### 22. CONSOLIDATED REVENUE OUTTURN - FINANCIAL YEAR 2009/10

The Committee received a report which detailed the Council's overall financial outturn and actual income and expenditure for the 2009/10 financial year with a comparison to the budget for General Fund Services and the Housing Revenue Account.

Officers provided details on various aspects of the report in relation to major variations in budgets and in particular in respect of significant changes in the Corporate employee costs subsequent to the review of senior management posts and redundancies and it was noted that, whilst the figures were still subject to examination

Committee 23rd June 2010

by the Audit Commission during July 2010, in general terms the Council had saved more than anticipated.

#### **RESOLVED** that

the report be noted.

### 23. QUARTERLY PERFORMANCE MONITORING - QUARTER 4 - JANUARY TO MARCH 2010

The Committee received a report on the Council's performance for the forth quarter of the 2009/10 financial year for comment and noting.

Officers reported on various indicators that had shown improvements and highlighted Benefits and Housing Repairs as well as reductions, particularly those relating to recycling and levels of detritus. Members commented that solutions were being implemented to improve clearance of detritus through staff training and increased use of equipment such as mechanical sweepers.

Members also discussed NI 151 regarding the slight fall in the local overall employment rate (working age) and queried what Redditch Borough Council, as a local employer, was doing to help improve employment in the town. In the absence of specific knowledge on the matter, Officers suggested that Local Authority influence on general employment in the town was fairly marginal although a number of events had been staged as part of the Local Development Strategy work and more were planned. The Committee agreed that relevant Officers be requested to circulate a written answer to the query for Members information.

#### RESOLVED that

- 1) the update on key performance indicators for the period ending March 2010 be noted; and
- 2) in relation to NI 151, regarding the overall employment rate (working age), relevant Officers be requested to circulate a written answer to Committee Members in respect of the query on what Redditch Borough Council was doing to help improve employment in the town, as soon as possible.

Committee 23rd June 2010

### 24. ITEMS FOR SCRUTINY - SUGGESTIONS FROM THE CORPORATE MANAGEMENT TEAM

The Committee was asked to consider a list of potential items for scrutiny that had been proposed by the Council's Corporate Management Team and to determine which, if any, they considered might be suitable for inclusion on the Committee's Work Programme for the forthcoming year.

Members agreed that the "red flag" issues of education attainment and health inequalities were suitable for ongoing scrutiny. It was noted that the Redditch Partnership was currently working on both of these issues and that a Health Action Plan was to be produced. Officers suggested that the Overview and Scrutiny Committee might wish to look at the Health Action Plan in more detail and invite a representative of the NHS to a future meeting to for this purpose. It was also reported that the Local Strategic Partnership Task and Finish Group would be making recommendations regarding the partnership that would help the Committee in the long-term to scrutinise these issues.

The Committee further agreed that Shared Services and the WETT services would be suitable for scrutiny. Whilst joint scrutiny might be undertaken, Members were keen to consider both issues from a district perspective in order to gauge any direct benefits for the residents of Redditch.

A proposal was also put forward that the Committee consider setting up a Task and Finish Group to look into environmental standards on local estates. The Member concerned was requested to complete the required scoping document outlining their proposals for the review for formal submission to the Committee for consideration.

#### **RESOLVED** that

- the list of potential items for scrutiny and Task and Finish Reviews provided by the Council's Corporate Management Team, be noted;
- 2) the Overview and Scrutiny Committee be provided with a copy of the Health Action Plan and a representative of the NHS be invited to attend a future meeting of the Committee to discuss the document in more detail;

Committee 23rd June 2010

- appropriate reports on the subjects of Shared Services and WETT Services be provided at regular intervals for the Committee's information and consideration, commencing with the report on the WETT Regulatory Service for the 14th July 2010 meeting; and
- 4) a scoping document be submitted by Councillor Vickery in respect of his request for a Task and Finish review of environmental standards on local estates, for the Committee's consideration.

### 25. WORCESTERSHIRE ENHANCED TWO TIER (WETT) REGULATORY SERVICE - QUESTIONS REGARDING THE SERVICE

The Committee was asked to consider whether it wished to propose a list of questions on the Worcestershire Enhanced Two Teir (WETT) Regulatory Service which would be addressed by relevant Officers at a presentation at the following meeting of the Committee.

#### **RESOLVED** that

Members provide the Overview and Scrutiny Support Officer, in advance of the meeting, with their questions (if any) on the subject of the WETT Regulatory Service for the consideration of relevant Officers.

### 26. WORCESTERSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL - SUGGESTIONS FOR SCRUTINY

The Committee was informed that it had been invited by Worcestershire County Council to consider whether it wished to propose any appropriate items for consideration by a selection of the County Council's Overview and Scrutiny Committees.

### **RESOLVED** that

Further to their invitation, the following items be put to Worcestershire County Council's appropriate Overview and Scrutiny Committees for consideration:

1) road surfaces in Redditch;

Committee 23rd June 2010

- 2) the County's response to needs analysis as detailed in the Supporting People Strategy;
- 3) the funding of QUANGOS, in terms of Worcestershire accessing a fair share and how it is subsequently distributed County-wide; and
- 4) plans for economic development in North Worcestershire.

### 27. REFERRALS

The Committee was asked to consider a referral from the Local Strategic Partnership (LSP) Task and Finish Group relating to the potential for Redditch Borough Council to adopt a Staff Volunteering Policy. Members were provided with a copy of Bromsgrove District Council's Staff Volunteering Policy, which had been approved in February 2010, as an example.

Officers reported that, as the Bromsgrove Policy had only recently been adopted, it was much too early to assess its impact, take-up and benefits to staff at this time. With regard to the query on consultation, Officers suggested that at the very least, the Unions should be consulted but that it would be wise to consult with staff to gauge interest.

Members were generally supportive of the Council pursuing a Staff Volunteering Policy, but agreed that it would be prudent to monitor the impact of the Policy in Bromsgrove for a period of time to provide further information and allow an informed decision on whether a similar policy would be appropriate in Redditch.

### **RESOLVED** that

- 1) the Overview and Scrutiny Committee review the proposal for a Staff Volunteering Policy in twelve months time once further information is available from Bromsgrove District Council on its success or otherwise; and
- 2) the Committee's Work Programme be amended accordingly.

Committee	23rd June 2010
-----------	----------------

### 28. WORK PROGRAMME

Members were reminded that the Scrutiny Work Programme Planning Event was due to be held on the 26th July 2010 at 6pm

### **RESOLVED** that

subject to any updates previously agreed during the course of the meeting, the Committee's Work Programme be noted.

The Meeting commenced at Error! Unknown switch argument	
and closed at Error! Unknown switch argument.	
	Chair

### **Actions requested by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee**

Date Action Requested	Action to be Taken	Response	
14th October 2009  Officers reported an item that had been raised by the Portfolio Holder for Community Safety for the consideration of the Crime and Disorder Scrutiny Panel.		Members agreed that this item should be referred for consideration at the first meeting of the Panel. The Panel is due to consider suggested items for scrutiny at a forthcoming meeting on 15th July 2010. Lead Officer, Overview and Scrutiny Support Officer, estimated completion date, 15th July 2010. (TO BE DONE).	
17th March 2010 <b>2</b>	Members were disappointed to learn that there had been a low response level to the consultation process that had been undertaken regarding the communal cleaning contract for Council properties.	More focussed consultation is in the process of being undertaken starting in Exhall Close and Winyates. An update report will be delivered on this subject at a meeting of the Committee on 22nd July.	
17th March 2010 <b>3</b>	Members received an Annual Report from the Portfolio Holder for Community Safety. They requested that the information relating to the performance of the Fire Authority, which was presented at meetings of the Redditch Community Safety Partnership's Tasking Group, be incorporated into the performance reports that were regularly presented for Members' consideration.	Officers to ensure that details about the Fire Authority's performance be incorporated into the performance reports considered by the Executive and Overview and Scrutiny Committee from 2010/11 onwards. TO BE DONE. 27th July for the 8th September meeting of the Executive Committee when the first performance report for 2010/11 is due to be considered.	

28th April 2010 <b>4</b>	Gender Equalities was considered by the Committee. Members agreed that the subject of the causes and consequences of violence against women and girls, one of the four main issues identified for gender equalities work, should be scrutinised in further detail by the Crime and Disorder Scrutiny Panel.	The subject should be referred for the consideration of the Panel at a forthcoming meeting on 15th July 2010. Lead Officer, Overview and Scrutiny Support Officer, estimated completion date, 15th July 2010. WILL BE DONE SOON.
2nd June 2010 <b>5</b>	Members requested that the Scrutiny Work Programme Planning Event take place as soon as possible and that the issues proposed by the Committee in the previous municipal year be approved.	The Redditch Scrutiny Work Programme Planning Event is due to take place on Monday 26th July from 6.00 pm. WILL BE DONE SOON.
23rd June 2010 <b>6</b>	Members agreed to pre-scrutinise the REDI centre update report at the following meeting of the Committee.	The item is due to be considered at this meeting of the Committee. WILL BE DONE AT THIS MEETING.
23rd June 2010 <b>7</b>	Members agreed to pre-scrutinise the Older Person's Housing and Support Strategy at the following meeting of the Committee.	The report will be pre-scrutinised at an additional meeting of the Committee prior to the Executive on 22nd July 2010. TO BE DONE.
23rd June 2010 <b>8</b>	Members agreed to pre-scrutinise the Improvement Plan 2010/2011 at the following meeting of the Committee. Officers advised that there was the possibility that this report might postponed or deleted from the Forward Plan.	Subject to continuing inclusion of the report on the Forward Plan for the 28th July Executive Committee meeting the report will be pre-scrutinised at an additional meeting of the Committee prior to the Executive on 22nd July 2010. TO BE DONE.

### Page 19

		_
23rd June 2010	Members agreed to pre-scrutinise the Younger People's Plan at a meeting of the Committee in December.	The Committee's Work Programme has been altered accordingly. DONE.
9	Describer.	
23rd June 2010	Members requested that Officers work to schedule a date for the consideration of the Joint	Officers have been asked to schedule a date for the consideration of this item by the
10	Worcestershire Flooding Scrutiny Group's recommendations.	Executive Committee. Lead Officer, Operations Manager, estimated completion date, not specified. TO BE DONE.
23rd June 2010	Members requested an update on the county Supporting People Strategy at a following meeting of the Committee.	The Committee's Work Programme has been altered accordingly. DONE.
11	the committee.	
23rd June 2010	Members requested that Officers review arrangements for Members delivering updates on their work on	Officers to review appropriate arrangements for the delivery of member updates on the work of
12	outside bodies.	outside bodies further. Lead Officer, Democratic Services Manager, estimated completion date, not specified. TO BE DONE.
23rd June 2010	Members requested that Officers circulate information provided by JMP Consulting on the subject of the costs involved in delivering	Officers to circulate the details as soon as possible. Lead Officer, Head of Community Services, estimated completion date, not
13	pre-9.30a.m travel.	specified. TO BE DONE.
23rd June 2010	Members agreed to invite the relevant Portfolio Holder and relevant Officers from	The relevant Portfolio Holder and Officers from Worcestershire County Council have been
14	Worcestershire County Council to attend the following meeting of the Committee to discuss plans for the delivery of the Concessionary bus pass scheme.	invited to attend the additional meeting of the committee on 22nd July. TO BE DONE.

23rd June 2010 <b>15</b>	Members requested that relevant Officers produce a written report concerning the impact of the Fees and Charges Task and Finish Group's report on the Council's fees and charges setting process. Amendments were requested therefore to the Committee's Work Programme.	The Committee's Work Programme has been amended to enable Officers to deliver this report at a meeting of the Committee on 4th August. DONE.
23rd June 2010 <b>16</b>	Members discussed NI 151, regarding the overall employment rate (working age). They questioned what RBC, as a local employer, was doing to help improve employment in the town. Officers were asked to circulate further information on this subject for Members' consideration.	Officers are in the process of recording the work they have been undertaking on this subject. This documentation will be circulated shortly. Lead Officer, Head of Planning and Regeneration, estimated completion date not specified. TO BE DONE.
23rd June 2010 <b>17</b>	Members agreed to consider a copy of the Health Action Plan, that was being produced by the Redditch Partnership, at a following meeting. Members requested that the Committee's Work Programme be altered accordingly.	The item has been added to the Committee's Work Programme. DONE.
23rd June 2010 <b>18</b>	Members agreed to propose a number of questions for the consideration of relevant Officers regarding the WETT Regulatory service.	The questions proposed by Members are due to be addressed by relevant Officers during this meeting of the committee. WILL BE DONE AT THIS MEETING.
23rd June 2010 <b>19</b>	Members proposed a number of items for the consideration of Worcestershire County Council's Overview and Scrutiny Boards.	Worcestershire County Council was informed about the proposed items by email on 24th June. DONE.

### Questions regarding the Worcestershire Enhanced Two Tier (WETT) Regulatory Service

At the first meeting of the Redditch Overview and Scrutiny Committee in 2010/11 members requested further information about the WETT Regulatory Service. The following questions have been proposed by members for the consideration of relevant Officers regarding the service. Answers to these questions should be provided during the course of these meetings and further subsidiary answers may be provided by members on the basis of these original answers.

- 1) How do you ensure members and the public are provided with a service relevant and responsive to the needs of their locality?
- 2) How are members expected to advocate specific local provision as mandated by their electorate?
- 3) Does any aspect of the Regulatory service need to take account of the particular needs of a new town with a younger population profile, an industrial heritage, transport links predominantly out of county and a travel to work profile linking it with Birmingham rather than Worcestershire?



No Direct Ward Relevance

14th July 2010

Committee

### **WORK PROGRAMME**

(Report of the Chief Executive)			
Date of Meeting	Subject Matter	Officer(s) Responsible for report	
mooting		To Topolt	
ALL MEETINGS	REGULAR ITEMS	(CHIEF EXECUTIVE)	
	Minutes of previous meeting	Chief Executive	
	Consideration of the Forward Plan	Chief Executive	
	Consideration of Executive Committee key decisions	Chief Executive	
	Call-ins (if any)	Chief Executive	
	Pre-scrutiny (if any)	Chief Executive	
	Consideration of Overview and Scrutiny Actions List	Chief Executive	
	Referrals from Council or Executive Committee, etc. (if any)	Chief Executive	
	Task & Finish Groups - feedback	Chief Executive	
	Committee Work Programme	Chief Executive	
	REGULAR ITEMS		
	Quarterly Performance Report	Chief Executive	
	Quarterly Budget Monitoring Report	Chief Executive	
	Review of Service Plans 2010 / 13	Relevant Lead Heads of Service	
	Annual Update on the Implementation of the Civil Parking Enforcement Scheme	Relevant Lead Heads of Service	

	REGULAR ITEMS  Update on fly tipping and progress with the Worth It campaign  Update on the work of the Crime and Disorder Scrutiny Panel.	Relevant Lead Heads of Service Relevant Lead Heads of Service
	REGULAR ITEMS  Oral updates on the progress of:  1. the Dial-A-Ride Task and Finish Group;  2. the Local Strategic Partnership Task and Finish Group;  3. Joint Worcestershire Hub Scrutiny; and  4. Bus Pass Scheme County Provision.	
OTHER ITEMS - DATE FIXED		
14th July 2010	CfPS Good Scrutiny Awards – Feedback from the Conference	Relevant Lead Head of Service
14th July 2010	REDI Centre – Update Report – Pre-Scrutiny	Relevant Lead Head of Service
14th July 2010	Consideration of the Worcestershire Enhanced Two Tier (WETT) Regulatory Service	Relevant Lead Head of Service

22nd July 2010	Concessionary Bus Pass Scheme – Interview with representatives from Worcestershire County Council	Relevant Lead Head of Service
22nd July 2010	Council Flat Communal Cleaning Task and Finish Group – Monitoring Report	Relevant Lead Head of Service
22nd July 2010	Older Persons Housing and Support Strategy – Pre-scrutiny	Relevant Lead Head of Service
26th July 2010	Scrutiny Work Programme Planning Event	Relevant Lead Head of Service
4th August 2010	Charging Policy – Monitoring Update Report	Relevant Lead Head of Service
4th August 2010	Town Centre Landscape Improvements (including Church Green Improvements) Report – Pre-Scrutiny	Relevant Lead Head of Service
4th August 2010	Disabled Facilities Grants and the Lifetime Grant – scrutiny of the Countywide Scheme	Relevant Lead Head of Service
19th August 2010	Neighbourhood Groups Task and Finish Group – Monitoring Report	Relevant Lead Head of Service
19th August 2010	Review of Ditches – Update Report	Relevant Lead Head of Service
15th September 2010	Garden Waste Collection – Pre-Scrutiny	Relevant Lead Head of Service

15th September 2010	Quarterly Performance Monitoring Report – First Quarter	Relevant Lead Head of Service
15th September 2010	Sub Regional Choice Based Lettings – Prescrutiny	Relevant Lead Head of Service
17th November 2010	Update on fly tipping and progress with the Worth It campaign	Relevant Lead Head of Service
8th December	Children and Young Peoples Plan – Pre-	Relevant Lead
2010	Scrutiny	Head of Service
8th December	Quarterly Performance Monitoring Report –	Relevant Lead
2010	Second Quarter	Head of Service
19th January	National Angling Museum Task and Finish	Relevant Lead
2011	Group – Update on Actions	Head of Service
19th January 2011	Local Strategic Partnership – Final Report	Relevant Lead Head of Service
9th February	Civil Parking Enforcement - Annual	Relevant Lead
2011	Monitoring Report	Head of Service
2nd March 2011	Council Flat Communal Cleaning Task and Finish Group – Update on Implementation of Recommendations Stage Two.	Relevant Lead Head of Service
23rd March	Youth Employment at Redditch Borough	Relevant Lead
2011	Council – Update Report	Head of Service

13th April 2011	Update on fly tipping and progress with the Worth It campaign	Relevant Lead Head of Service
June 2011	Third Sector Task and Finish Group – Stage Two Update on Responses to the Group's Recommendations	Relevant Lead Head of Service
June 2011	Staff Volunteering Policy – Update	Relevant Lead Head of Service
OTHER ITEMS  – DATE NOT FIXED		
	Dial-a-Ride Task and Finish Review – Final Report	Relevant Lead Head of Service
	Fees and Charges Task and Finish Group – Update on Implementation of the Charging Policy	Relevant Lead Head of Service
	Redditch Health Action Plan – Consideration of the document.	Relevant Lead Head of Service
	Improvement Plan – Pre-Scrutiny	Relevant Lead Director
	Local Area Agreement Review – Consideration of Scoping Document.	Relevant Lead Head of Service
	Overview and Scrutiny Member Training on Pre-Scrutiny.	Relevant Lead Head of Service

Private Sector Home Support Service – Pre- Scrutiny	Relevant Lead Head of Service
Worcestershire Supporting People Strategy	Relevant Lead Head of Service